



**SAN MIGUEL  
CORPORATION**

March 21, 2025

Philippine Dealing & Exchange Corp.  
29th Floor, BDO Equitable Tower  
8751 Paseo de Roxas, Makati City 1226

Attention: Atty. Suzy Claire R. Selleza  
Head – Issuer Compliance and Disclosure Department  
Philippine Dealing & Exchange Corp.  
-----

Gentlemen:

Please see attached disclosure of the Company.

Very truly yours,

**MARY ROSE S. TAN**  
Assistant Corporate Secretary



March 21, 2025

**The Philippine Stock Exchange, Inc.**  
Disclosure Department  
6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Philippine Stock Exchange Tower  
28<sup>th</sup> Street, corner 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Bonifacio Global City, Taguig City

Attention: **Atty. Johanne Daniel M. Negre**  
Officer-in-Charge, Disclosure Department

Gentlemen:

We reply with respect to various news articles relating to San Miguel Corporation (“SMC” or the “Company”). In the article entitled “San Miguel seeks ERC nod to recover P34b from Meralco customers” posted in ManilaStandard.net on March 20, 2025, it was reported that:

“SAN Miguel Corp. (SMC) filed an application with the Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC) to recover P34 billion from Manila Electric Co. (Meralco) consumers, following a Supreme Court ruling in favor of its rate hike petition.

The Supreme Court last year rejected a final appeal by the ERC against a rate hike petition filed by SMC subsidiaries and Meralco.

The high court’s decision upheld a Court of Appeals ruling that affirmed SMC’s right to invoke a ‘change in circumstance’ clause in its power supply agreements (PSAs) with Meralco.

ERC chairperson Monalisa Dimalanta told reporters Thursday that SMC had filed two separate motions with the ERC.

‘They want us to execute the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals decision, collecting P5 billion for that period of change in circumstance. And then, they’ve also filed a second motion for the subsequent period. I think the first period was March to May. The subsequent period is June to December if I’m not mistaken. And then they are claiming P29 billion in collection,’ Dimalanta said.

She said the SMC motions were 'still under evaluation.'

'Well, increases can happen. I mean, changes in PPA [power purchase agreement], PSA rates can happen as we know, because of fuel primarily, because that's an uncontrolled portion of the PSA. That's why we need to evaluate,' she said.

'If the increase is justified, allowed under the contract, and there's really basis for the cost, the actual amounts claimed, then we can allow for the increase and then we manage the impact by deferring, extending the period of collection. That's how we're able to manage,' she said.

...."

By way of response to the Exchange, we advise that Sual Power Inc. and South Premiere Power Corp., companies of San Miguel Global Power Holdings Corp. ("San Miguel Global"), a subsidiary of San Miguel Corporation, filed two (2) applications with the Energy Regulatory Commission ("ERC") to recover from the Manila Electric Company ("Meralco") the aggregate amount of approximately P34 billion, representing price adjustments resulting from changes in circumstances under the relevant provisions of the Power Supply Agreements with Meralco and, by way of execution of the favorable judgments rendered by the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals, as reported in the aforementioned news article. Payment by the ERC of the amount covered by the applications will have no immediate adverse impact on the current operations and future viability of both companies inasmuch as such amount arose from fuel and related supply costs incurred in prior periods.

Very truly yours,



**FERDINAND K. CONSTANTINO**  
Corporate Information Officer